

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X

Oludare Oyenuga, and Janiya Preston, *on behalf of  
themselves and others similarly situated in the  
proposed FLSA Collective Action,*

Case No.: 22-cv-04972

*Plaintiffs,*

**Jury Trial Demanded**

*- against -*

**FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

International Trendz, LLC, and Ismail Qadar,

*Defendants.*

-----X

Plaintiffs Oludare Oyenuga (“Oyenuga”) and Janiya Preston (“Preston”, and together with Oyenuga, the “Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, by and through their attorneys, Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C., upon personal knowledge as to themselves and upon information and belief as to others, brings this complaint against Defendants International Trendz, LLC, (the Corporate Defendant”), and Ismail Qadar (the “Individual Defendant”, and collectively with the Corporate Defendant, the “Defendants”) and states as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit seeking recovery, for themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, against Defendants’ violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* (“FLSA”), and violations of Articles 6 and 19 of the New York State Labor Law (“NYLL”) and their supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

2. Plaintiffs seek injunctive and declaratory relief and to recover unpaid minimum wages, overtime wages, untimely pay, liquidated and statutory damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA, NYLL, and the NYLL's Wage Theft Prevention Act ("WTPA").

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1337, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the NYLL pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

4. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because their claims arise under the FLSA.

5. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because all events relevant to this action occurred in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

## **THE PARTIES**

### **PLAINTIFF OLUDARE OYENUGA**

6. Plaintiff Oyenuga was employed as a security personnel at Defendants' security services company known as "Presidential Security Services" from approximately April 2022 to through and including July 2022.

7. Plaintiff Oyenuga was employed as a non-managerial employee for Defendants from April 2022 to through and including July 2022.

8. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Oyenuga has been an employee within the meaning of Section 3(e) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

9. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Oyenuga has been a manual worker within the meaning of NYLL § 191(1)(a).

### **PLAINTIFF JANIYA PRESTON**

10. Plaintiff Preston was employed as a security personnel at Defendants' security services company known as "Presidential Security Services" from approximately February 2022

to through and including July 2022.

11. Plaintiff Preston was employed as a non-managerial employee for Defendants from February 2022 to through and including July 2022.

12. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Preston has been an employee within the meaning of Section 3(e) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

13. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Preston has been a manual worker within the meaning of NYLL § 191(1)(a).

**DEFENDANT INTERNATIONAL TRENDZ, LLC**

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant International Trendz, LLC is a domestic limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of New York.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant International Trendz, LLC is licensed to do business in the State of New York, and at all relevant times here to, maintained a principal place of business at 119-22 204<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 2, Queens, NY, 11412 275, and alternate addresses at 1650 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103, and Malcolm X Blvd, New York, NY 10027.

16. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant International Trendz, LLC (i) has had and continues to have employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods and services for commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and (ii) has had and continues to have an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

17. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant International Trendz, LLC was and is a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and, at all times relevant to this Complaint, employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

18. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant International Trendz, LLC was

and is an employer within the meaning of the 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and NYLL Section 190(3), and employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

**DEFENDANT ISMAIL QADAR**

19. Defendant Ismail Qadar is an individual engaging (or who have engaged) in business within this judicial district during the relevant time period.

20. Defendant Ismail Qadar is sued individually and in his capacity as an owner, officer and/or agent of the Corporate Defendant.

21. Defendant Ismail Qadar possesses or possessed operational control over the Corporate Defendant, or controlled significant functions of the Corporate Defendant.

22. Defendant Ismail Qadar determined the wages and compensation of employees, including Plaintiffs, established the schedules of employees, maintained employee records, and had the authority to hire and fire employees.

23. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Ismail Qadar has been and is an employer within the meaning of the 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and NYLL Section 190(3), and employed employees, including Plaintiffs.

**DEFENDANTS CONSTITUTE JOINT EMPLOYERS**

24. Defendants own, operate and/or control Presidential Security Services.

25. The Individual Defendant possesses operational control over the Corporate Defendant, possesses an ownership interest in the Corporate Defendant, and controls significant functions of the Corporate Defendant.

26. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.

27. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiffs' (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiffs, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.

28. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiffs, and all similarly situated individuals, and are Plaintiffs' (and all similarly situated individuals') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.

29. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiffs and/or similarly situated individuals.

30. Upon information and belief, the Individual Defendant operates the Corporate Defendant as either an alter ego of himself, and/or fails to operate the Corporate Defendant as an entity legally separate and apart from himself, by, among other things:

- a. failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate the Corporate Defendant a separate and legally distinct entity;
- b. defectively forming or maintaining the Corporate Defendant, by among other things failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records;
- c. transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants;
- d. operating the Corporate Defendant for their own benefit as the majority shareholder;
- e. operating the Corporate Defendant for their own benefit and maintaining control over it as a closed corporation or closely controlled entity;
- f. intermingling assets and debts of their own with the Corporate Defendant;

g. diminishing and/or transferring assets of the Corporate Defendant to protect their own interests; and

h. other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.

31. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiffs' employers within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.

32. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiffs, control the terms and conditions of employment, and determine the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiffs' services.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### *Factual Allegations Pertaining to Plaintiff Oludare Oyenuga*

33. Plaintiff Oyenuga was an employee of Defendants.

34. Plaintiff Oyenuga was employed as a security personnel at Presidential Security Services from approximately April 2022 to, through and including July 2022.

35. From approximately April 2022 to, through and including July 2022, Plaintiff Oyenuga reported to work at the "Howard Johnson by Wyndham" hotel, located at 38-16 12<sup>th</sup> St., Long Island City, NY 11101.

36. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff Oyenuga regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

37. From approximately April 2022 to, through and including July 2022, Plaintiff Oyenuga worked at Presidential Security Services five (5) days per week as follows: Sunday, from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. (*i.e.*, 12 hours per day), and Monday to Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. (*i.e.*, 8 hours per day), for a total period of approximately 44 hours during each of the weeks, respectively.

38. From April 2022 to, through and including June 11, 2022, Plaintiff Oyenuga was paid \$20 per hour.

39. From June 12, 2022 to, through and including July 2022, Defendants have not paid Plaintiff Oyenuga *any wages* for his hours worked.

40. On the weeks where Plaintiff Oyenuga worked in excess of forty (40) hours a week, he was only paid his straight time rate of pay.

41. Plaintiff Oyenuga regularly worked for the Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours a week but never received an overtime premium of one and one-half times his regular rate of pay for those hours.

*Factual Allegations Pertaining to Plaintiff Janiya Preston*

42. Plaintiff Preston was an employee of Defendants.

43. Plaintiff Preston was employed as a security personnel at Presidential Security Services from approximately February 2022 to, through and including July 2022.

44. From approximately February 2022 to, through and including July 2022, Plaintiff Preston reported to work at the “Howard Johnson by Wyndham” hotel, located at 38-16 12<sup>th</sup> St., Long Island City, NY 11101.

45. From approximately February 2022 to, through and including July 2022, Plaintiff Preston worked at Presidential Security Services five (5) days per week as follows: Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. (*i.e.*, 8 hours per day), and Sundays from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. (*i.e.*, 12 hours per day), for a total period of approximately 44 hours during each of the weeks, respectively.

46. From February 2022 to, through and including July 2022, Plaintiff Preston was paid \$26 per hour.

47. From May 2022 to, through and including July 2022, Defendants have not paid Plaintiff Preston *any wages* for her hours worked.

48. On the weeks where Plaintiff Preston worked in excess of forty (40) hours a week, she was only paid her straight time rate of pay.

*Factual Allegations Pertaining to all Plaintiffs*

49. Plaintiffs and other similarly situated individuals are individuals who have worked for Defendants in similarly-titled, hourly paid position, during the statutory period.

50. Plaintiffs and other similarly situated individuals all shared similar job titles, training, job descriptions and job tasks, during the statutory period.

51. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs reported to work at the “Howard Johnson by Wyndham” hotel, located at 38-16 12<sup>th</sup> St., Long Island City, NY 11101.

52. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs regularly used goods and materials that have been moved in, or produced for commerce.

53. For example, Defendants provided Plaintiffs with, *inter alia*, uniforms and radios during the performance of their duties.

54. These goods and materials have been moved in interstate commerce.

55. At all relevant times, the “Howard Johnson by Wyndham” hotel, was engaged in commerce.

56. Specifically, the “Howard Johnson by Wyndham” hotel would offer, *inter alia*, temporary housing accommodations to individuals who resided outside of New York.

57. In addition, the “Howard Johnson by Wyndham” hotel regularly transacted interstate business, through the use of credit card transactions, and the use of goods and materials that originated from outside of New York, including cleaning supplies.



58. At all relevant times, Defendants did not pay Plaintiffs at the rate of one and one-half times their hourly wage rate for hours worked in excess of forty per workweek.

59. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiffs to all other similarly situated employees.

60. Defendants did not state the correct gross wages, as defined by NYLL, for any employee on any pay statement as required by NYLL or deductions from the correct gross wages.

61. Plaintiffs were not required to keep track of their time, nor to their knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device, such as sign in sheets or punch cards, that accurately reflected their actual hours worked.

62. No notification, either in the form of posted notices, or other means, was ever given to Plaintiffs regarding wages required under the FLSA or NYLL.

63. Defendants did not provide Plaintiffs a statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

64. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiffs of their of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL § 195(1).

65. Defendants' failure to provide accurate wage notices and accurate wage statements denied Plaintiffs their statutory right to receive true and accurate information about the nature of their employment and related compensation policies.

66. Moreover, Defendant's breach of these obligations injured Plaintiffs by denying Plaintiffs the right to know the conditions of their compensation, resulting in the underpayment of wages.

#### **FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

67. Plaintiffs bring the First and Second for Relief as a collective action pursuant to

FLSA §16(b), 29 U.S.C. §216(b), on behalf of all non-exempt persons (including but not limited to security personnel) employed by Defendants on or after the date that is three years before filing of the Complaint in this case, as defined herein (“FLSA Collective Plaintiffs”).

68. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and are and have been subject to Defendants’ decision, policy, plan and common policies, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines and rules willfully failing and refusing to pay them at the legally required overtime wage for all hours worked in excess of forty hours per work week. These claims of the Plaintiffs are essentially the same as those of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

69. The First and Second Claims for Relief are properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to under FLSA §16(b), 29 U.S.C. §216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and others related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from the Defendants. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last address known to Defendants

70. Plaintiffs reserve the right to re-define the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs prior to notice or collective certification, and thereafter, as necessary.

**FIRST CLAIM**  
**(FLSA – Unpaid Minimum Wages, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*)**

71. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

72. Defendants willfully and intentionally failed to compensate the Plaintiffs with the applicable minimum hourly wage in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S. Code § 206.

73. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with

respect to compensation of Plaintiffs.

74. Due to Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid minimum wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pursuant to the FLSA, all in an amount to be determined at trial. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

**SECOND CLAIM**  
**(FLSA – Unpaid Overtime Wages, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*)**

75. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

76. At all relevant times to this action, Plaintiffs is a covered, non-exempt employee within the meaning of the FLSA.

77. Defendants were required to pay Plaintiffs one and one-half (1 1/2) times the regular rate at which Plaintiffs were employed for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek pursuant to the overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, *et seq.*

78. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs the overtime wages to which he is entitled under the FLSA.

79. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages.

80. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost of the action and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

**THIRD CLAIM**  
**(NYLL – Unpaid Minimum Wages, N.Y. Stat. § 650 *et seq.*)**

81. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

82. Defendants willfully and intentionally failed to compensate the Plaintiffs with the applicable minimum hourly wage in violation of the NYLL §650 *et seq.*

83. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the NYLL with respect to compensation of Plaintiffs.

84. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid minimum wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, in an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to the NYLL § 663.

**FOURTH CLAIM**  
**(NYLL – Unpaid Overtime Wages)**

85. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

86. Plaintiffs is covered, non-exempt employee within the meaning of the NYLL and supporting New York Department of Labor ("NYDOL") Regulations.

87. Under the NYLL and supporting NYDOL Regulations, Defendants are required to pay Plaintiffs one and one half times the regular rate of pay, which shall not be less than the minimum wage, for all hours they worked in excess of forty.

88. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs the overtime wages to which he is entitled under the NYLL.

89. Defendants willfully violated the NYLL by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages.

90. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost of the action and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

**FIFTH CLAIM  
(NYLL WTPA– Failure to Provide Wage Notices)**

91. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

92. The NYLL and the WTPA require employers to provide all employees with a written notice of wage rates at the time of hire.

93. In violation of NYLL §195 (1), Defendants failed to furnish to Plaintiffs at the time of hiring, or whenever their rate(s) of pay changed, with a wage notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other, allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL §191; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address, if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law.

94. Due to Defendants' violations of NYLL §195 (1), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost and disbursement of the action, pursuant to the NYLL §198 (1-b).

**SIXTH CLAIM  
(Violation of the Wage Statement Provisions of the NYLL)**

95. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

96. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs with a statement listing each of the following the dates of work covered by the payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; the number of regular hours worked; the number of overtime hours worked, as required by the NYLL § 195(3).

97. As a result of Defendant's violation of the WTPA, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages of at least \$150 per week during which the violations occurred.

**SEVENTH CLAIM  
(Failure to Pay Timely Wages)**

98. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

99. NYLL § 191(1)(a) prohibits employers from paying manual workers at no less than on a weekly basis.

100. As described above, throughout their employment with Defendants, Plaintiffs are underpaid their wages each week.

101. As a result of Defendants' violation of NYLL § 191(1)(a), Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fees and cost and disbursement of the action, pursuant to the NYLL §198 (1-a).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

a. authorizing Plaintiffs at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective

action, or that the Court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have up through the extent allowable under the statute of limitations and including the date of issuance of court-supervised notice, been employed by Defendants as nonexempt employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied minimum wages and premium overtime wages;

- b. certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA;
- c. issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiffs and their counsel to represent the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs;
- d. declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of the FLSA, the NYLL and the NYDOL regulations;
- e. declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA, the NYLL and the NYDOL regulations;
- f. declaring that Defendants violated the notice statement pay provisions of the NYLL and WTPA;
- g. declaring that Defendant violated the timely pay provisions of the NYLL;
- h. awarding Plaintiffs unpaid minimum wages;
- i. awarding Plaintiffs unpaid overtime wages;
- j. awarding unpaid wages under New York State law for failure to pay timely wages;
- k. awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages in an amount equal to the total amount of wages found to be due;
- l. awarding Plaintiffs statutory damages as a result of Defendants' failure to furnish accurate wage notice pursuant to the NYLL;
- m. awarding Plaintiffs pre- and post-judgment interest under the NYLL;
- n. awarding Plaintiffs reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- o. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
October 16, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joshua Levin-Epstein  
Joshua Levin-Epstein  
Jason Mizrahi  
Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C.  
60 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 4700  
New York, New York 10165  
Tel: (212) 792-0046  
Email: Joshua@levinepstein.com  
*Attorneys for the Plaintiffs and proposed FLSA  
Collection Action Plaintiffs*